

Statement of Publication Ethics

The Chief Editor/Editor of the *Cummins College Digest of Engineering Education (CCDEE)* is responsible for the final decision regarding which of the research papers/articles submitted to the Digest (CCDEE) should be published. The decision will be based on the recommendation of the Digest (CCDEE)'s reviewers. The policies of the Digest (CCDEE)'s editorial board and legal requirements such as copyright infringement and plagiarism will be considered by the Chief Editor/Editor.

- **Equality standards:** Manuscripts shall be evaluated by the reviewers solely on the basis of their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.
- **Confidentiality:** The information about a submitted manuscript will be kept fully confidential by the Chief Editor/Editor, Reviewers and any Editorial Staff to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.
- **Disclosure and conflicts of interest:** Any information published or unpublished, disclosed in a submitted manuscript, must not be used in without the express of written consent of the author.
- **Contribution to Editorial Decisions:** Research papers/articles will be published in the Journal after peer reviewing, under the "Blind Review" process. The reviewers will advise the Chief Editor/Editor in making the editorial decision. The reviewers should not ask for the author's identity and Reviewers' comments to the editors are confidential and before passing on to the author will be made anonymous. The names of the reviewers remain strictly confidential; with their identities known only to the Chief Editor/Editor.
- **Promptness:** The journal is committed to provide timely review to the authors. Any selected reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or feels that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the Chief Editor/Editor and excuse him/her from the review process.
- **Confidentiality:** Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.
- **Standards of Objectivity:** The editors and reviewers are required to evaluate papers based on the content. Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author should be totally avoided. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.
- **Acknowledgement of Sources:** Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. If not done, then same should be notified to the chief editor/editor immediately.
- **Disclosure and Conflict of Interest:** Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.
- **Responsibilities of authors about reporting standards:** Authors should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. The work submitted by the author should be original. The paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.
- **Responsibilities of authors about Data Access and Retention:** Authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data, if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.
- **Responsibilities of authors about Originality and Plagiarism:** Authors should ensure that submitted work is original and has not been published elsewhere in any language, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted. In all its forms plagiarism constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.
- **Responsibilities of authors about Multiple Publications:** An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.
- **Responsibilities of authors about Acknowledgement of Sources:** Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Information obtained privately, as in conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, must not be used or reported without explicit, written, permission from the source.
- **Responsibilities of authors about Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest:** All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.